

Material Point Method for Snow Simulation

Alexey Stomakhin, Craig Schroeder, Lawrence Chai, Joseph Teran & Andrew Selle

January 18, 2013

1 Differentiating energy

Given an elasto-plastic energy density function $\Psi(\mathbf{F}_E, \mathbf{F}_P)$ which evaluates to $\Psi_p = \Psi(\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{Ep}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{F}_{Pp}^n)$ at each particle p using its elastic and plastic parts of the deformation gradient $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{Ep}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ and \mathbf{F}_{Pp}^n , we define the full potential energy of the system to be

$$\Phi(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_p V_p^0 \Psi(\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{Ep}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{F}_{Pp}^n) = \sum_p V_p^0 \Psi_p,$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{Ep}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ is updated as

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{Ep}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \left(\mathbf{I} + \sum_i (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i - \mathbf{x}_i^n) (\nabla w_{ip}^n)^T \right) \mathbf{F}_{Ep}^n. \quad (1)$$

For the purposes of working out derivatives, we use index notation for differentiation, using Greek indices α, β, \dots for spatial indices, $\Phi_{,(j\sigma)}$ to indicate partial derivatives on $x_{j\sigma}$, $\Phi_{,(\alpha\beta)}$ to indicate partial derivatives on $F_{E\alpha\beta}$, and summation implied over all repeated indices. The derivatives of $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{Ep}$ with respect to \mathbf{x}_i are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{Ep\alpha\beta} &= (\delta_{\alpha\gamma} + (x_{i\alpha} - x_{i\alpha}^n) w_{ip,\gamma}^n) F_{Ep\gamma\beta}^n \\ \hat{F}_{Ep\alpha\beta,(j\sigma)} &= \delta_{\alpha\sigma} w_{jp,\gamma}^n F_{Ep\gamma\beta}^n \\ \hat{F}_{Ep\alpha\beta,(j\sigma)(k\tau)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

With these, the derivatives of Φ with respect to \mathbf{x}_i can be worked out using the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= V_p^0 \Psi_p \\ \Phi_{,(j\sigma)} &= \sum_p V_p^0 \Psi_{p,(\alpha\beta)} \hat{F}_{Ep\alpha\beta,(j\sigma)} \\ &= \sum_p V_p^0 \Psi_{p,(\sigma\beta)} w_{jp,\gamma}^n F_{Ep\gamma\beta}^n \\ \Phi_{,(j\sigma)(k\tau)} &= \sum_p (V_p^0 \Psi_{p,(\sigma\beta)} w_{jp,\gamma}^n F_{Ep\gamma\beta}^n)_{,(k\tau)} \\ &= \sum_p V_p^0 \Psi_{p,(\sigma\beta)(\tau\kappa)} w_{jp,\gamma}^n F_{Ep\gamma\beta}^n w_{kp,\omega}^n F_{Ep\omega\kappa}^n \end{aligned}$$

These can be interpreted without the use of indices as

$$-\mathbf{f}_i(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_p V_p^0 \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E}(\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{Ep}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{F}_{Pp}^n) (\mathbf{F}_{Ep}^n)^T \nabla w_{ip}^n \quad (2)$$

and

$$-\delta \mathbf{f}_i = \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i \partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \delta \mathbf{u}_j = \sum_p V_p^0 \mathbf{A}_p(\mathbf{F}_{Ep}^n)^T \nabla w_{ip}^n \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_p = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E \partial \mathbf{F}_E}(\mathbf{F}_E(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{F}_{Pp}^n) : \left(\sum_j \delta \mathbf{u}_j (\nabla w_{jp}^n)^T \mathbf{F}_{Ep}^n \right). \quad (4)$$

and the notation $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C} : \mathbf{D}$ is taken to mean $A_{ij} = C_{ijkl} D_{kl}$ with summation implied on indices kl .

2 Differentiating constitutive model

For integration, we need to compute $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E \partial \mathbf{F}_E} : \delta \mathcal{D}$. In this section, we will omit the subscripts E .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= \mu \|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{R}\|_F^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (J - 1)^2 \\
\delta \Psi &= \delta \left(\mu \|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{R}\|_F^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (J - 1)^2 \right) \\
&= \mu \delta (\|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{R}\|_F^2) + \lambda (J - 1) \delta J \\
&= \mu \delta (\text{tr}(\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F})) - 2\mu \delta (\text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{F})) + \mu \delta (\text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R})) + \lambda (J - 1) \delta J \\
&= 2\mu \mathbf{F} : \delta \mathbf{F} - 2\mu \delta (\text{tr}(\mathbf{S})) + \lambda (J - 1) \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} : \delta \mathbf{F} \\
&= 2\mu \mathbf{F} : \delta \mathbf{F} - 2\mu \text{tr}(\delta \mathbf{S}) + \lambda (J - 1) \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} : \delta \mathbf{F} \\
\mathbf{F} &= \mathbf{R} \mathbf{S} \\
\delta \mathbf{F} &= \delta \mathbf{R} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{R} \delta \mathbf{S} \\
\text{tr}(\delta \mathbf{S}) &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{F}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R} \mathbf{S}) \\
&= \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{F}) - (\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}) : \mathbf{S} \\
&= \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{F}) \\
&= \mathbf{R} : \delta \mathbf{F}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}$ must be skew-symmetric. Since \mathbf{S} is symmetric, $(\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}) : \mathbf{S} = 0$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \Psi &= 2\mu \mathbf{F} : \delta \mathbf{F} - 2\mu \text{tr}(\delta \mathbf{S}) + \lambda (J - 1) \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} : \delta \mathbf{F} \\
&= 2\mu \mathbf{F} : \delta \mathbf{F} - 2\mu \mathbf{R} : \delta \mathbf{F} + \lambda (J - 1) \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} : \delta \mathbf{F} \\
\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}} : \delta \mathbf{F} &= (2\mu \mathbf{F} - 2\mu \mathbf{R} + \lambda (J - 1) \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T}) : \delta \mathbf{F} \\
\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E} &= 2\mu (\mathbf{F}_E - \mathbf{R}_E) + \lambda (J_E - 1) \mathbf{J}_E \mathbf{F}_E^{-T}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that Cauchy stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and first Piola-Kirchhoff stress \mathbf{P} are related to $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E}$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E} \mathbf{F}_E^T = \frac{2\mu}{J} (\mathbf{F}_E - \mathbf{R}_E) \mathbf{F}_E^T + \frac{\lambda}{J} (J_E - 1) \mathbf{J}_E \mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_E} \mathbf{F}_P^{-T}$$

The second derivatives require a bit more care but can be computed relatively easily.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F} \partial \mathbf{F}} : \delta \mathbf{F} &= \delta \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}} \right) \\
&= \delta (2\mu (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{R}) + \lambda (J - 1) \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T}) \\
&= 2\mu \delta \mathbf{F} - 2\mu \delta \mathbf{R} + \lambda \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \delta J + \lambda (J - 1) \delta (\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T}) \\
&= 2\mu \delta \mathbf{F} - 2\mu \delta \mathbf{R} + \lambda \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} (\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} : \delta \mathbf{F}) + \lambda (J - 1) \delta (\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T})
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T}$ is a matrix whose entries are polynomials in the entries of \mathbf{F} , $\delta (\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{F}} (\mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T}) : \delta \mathbf{F}$ can readily be computed directly. That leaves the task of computing $\delta \mathbf{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathbf{F} &= \delta \mathbf{R} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{R} \delta \mathbf{S} \\
\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{F} &= (\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{S} + \delta \mathbf{S} \\
\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{F} - \delta \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{R} &= (\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R})
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have taken advantage of the symmetry of $\delta \mathbf{S}$ and the skew symmetry of $\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}$. There are three independent components of $\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}$, which we can solve for directly. The equation is linear in these components, so $\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R}$ can be computed by solving a 3×3 system. Finally, $\delta \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{R}^T \delta \mathbf{R})$.